

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
EASTERN DIVISION

CARLA MARIE BARTLETT,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. 2:13-cv-170

CHIEF JUDGE EDMUND A. SARGUS, JR.

E.I. DU PONT DE NEMOURS  
AND COMPANY,

Defendant.

JURY VERDICT FORM FOR NEGLIGENCE CLAIM

1. Do you find in favor of Mrs. Bartlett on her negligence claim?

Yes: X

No: \_\_\_\_\_

(All must agree)

If your answer to Question 1 is "Yes," then answer the next question.

If your answer to Question 1 is "No," do not answer the following question.

2. If you found in favor of Mrs. Bartlett, what damages, if any, do you find Mrs. Bartlett is entitled to on her negligence claim?

\$1.1 million

(All must agree)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
EASTERN DIVISION

CARLA MARIE BARTLETT,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. 2:13-cv-170

CHIEF JUDGE EDMUND A. SARGUS, JR.

E.I. DU PONT DE NEMOURS  
AND COMPANY,

Defendant.

**JURY VERDICT FORM FOR NEGLIGENT INFLECTION OF SERIOUS EMOTIONAL  
DISTRESS CLAIM**

1. Do you find in favor of Mrs. Bartlett on her negligent infliction of emotional distress claim?

Yes: X  
No: \_\_\_\_\_

(All must agree)

[REDACTED]

If your answer to Question 1 is "Yes," then answer the next question.

If your answer to Question 1 is "No," do not answer the following question.

2. If you found in favor of Mrs. Bartlett, what damages, if any, do you find Mrs. Bartlett is entitled to on her negligent infliction of emotional distress claim?

\$ 500,000

(All must agree)

[REDACTED]

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
EASTERN DIVISION**

**CARLA MARIE BARTLETT,**

**Plaintiff,**

**v.**

**Case No. 2:13-cv-170**

**CHIEF JUDGE EDMUND A. SARGUS, JR.**

**E.I. DU PONT DE NEMOURS  
AND COMPANY,**



**Defendant.**

**JURY VERDICT FORM FOR DAMAGES TOTAL**

If you found that Mrs. Bartlett was entitled to damages on either of her claims, what is the total sum of the amount of damages you found Mrs. Bartlett is entitled to, without duplicating any damages?

\$ 1.6 million

(All must agree)

A large, dark, irregularly shaped redacted area covering the signature of the first party.A large, dark, irregularly shaped redacted area covering the signature of the second party.

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
EASTERN DIVISION**

**CARLA MARIE BARTLETT,**

**Plaintiff,**

**v.**

**Case No. 2:13-cv-170**

**CHIEF JUDGE EDMUND A. SARGUS, JR.**

**E.I. DU PONT DE NEMOURS  
AND COMPANY,**

**Defendant.**

**JURY VERDICT INTERROGATORY**

If you found in favor of Mrs. Bartlett on either of her claims, do you find that Mrs. Bartlett has proven by clear and convincing evidence that DuPont acted with actual malice and that Mrs. Bartlett has presented proof of actual damages that resulted from those acts or failures to act of DuPont?

Yes: \_\_\_\_\_  
No: ☒ \_\_\_\_\_

(All must agree)

[REDACTED]